Appropriate Filtering for Education settings



May 2025

Filtering Provider Checklist Reponses

Schools (and registered childcare providers) in England and Wales are required "to ensure children are safe from terrorist and extremist material when accessing the internet in school, including by establishing appropriate levels of filtering". Furthermore, it expects that they "assess the risk of [their] children being drawn into terrorism, including support for extremist ideas that are part of terrorist ideology". There are a number of self review systems (eg www.360safe.org.uk) that will support a school in assessing their wider online safety policy and practice.

The Department for Education's statutory guidance 'Keeping Children Safe in Education' obliges schools and colleges in England to "ensure appropriate filters and appropriate monitoring systems are in place and regularly review their effectiveness" and they "should be doing all that they reasonably can to limit children's exposure to [Content, Contact, Conduct, Contract] risks from the school's or college's IT system" however, schools will need to "be careful that "over blocking" does not lead to unreasonable restrictions as to what children can be taught with regards to online teaching and safeguarding."

By completing all fields and returning to UK Safer Internet Centre (enquiries@saferinternet.org.uk), the aim of this document is to help filtering providers to illustrate to education settings (including Early years, schools and FE) how their particular technology system(s) meets the national defined 'appropriate filtering standards. Fully completed forms will be hosted on the UK Safer Internet Centre website alongside the definitions

It is important to recognise that no filtering systems can be 100% effective and need to be supported with good teaching and learning practice and effective supervision.

Г	
Company / Organisation	TrustLayer
Address	Belvedere House 4.2, Basing View, Basingstoke, RG21 4HG
Contact details	support@trustlayer.co.uk 08452309590
Filtering System	TrustLayer CloudUSS (Web & Cloud Security)
Date of assessment	5 September 2025

System Rating response

Where a supplier is able to confirm that their service fully meets the issue identified in a specific checklist the appropriate self-certification colour for that question is GREEN.	
Where a supplier is not able to confirm that their service fully meets the issue	
identified in a specific checklist question the appropriate self-certification colour	
for that question is AMBER.	

.

Illegal Online Content

Filtering providers should ensure that access to illegal content is blocked, specifically that the filtering providers:

Aspect	Rating	Explanation
 Are IWF members 		Indirectly, our threat intel
		partner for URL classification
		(zvelo) is a long-term member.
 and block access to illegal Child Abuse 		
Images (by actively implementing the IWF		
URL list), including frequency of URL list		
update		
 Integrate the 'the police assessed list of 		
unlawful terrorist content, produced on		
behalf of the Home Office'		
 Confirm that filters for illegal content cannot 		
be disabled by anyone at the school		
(including any system administrator).		

Describing how, their system manages the following illegal content

Content	Explanatory notes – Content that:	Rating	Explanation
child sexual	Content that depicts or promotes		The domain and URL monitoring
abuse	sexual abuse or exploitation of		service contains over 500 web
	children, which is strictly		categories and granular sub-
	prohibited and subject to severe		categories.
	legal penalties.		
controlling or	Online actions that involve		Top level categories are listed
coercive	psychological abuse, manipulation,		here:
behaviour	or intimidation to control another		https://help.clouduss.com/produ
	individual, often occurring in		ct-web-security/web-categories-
	domestic contexts.		<u>list</u>
extreme	Content that graphically depicts		
sexual	acts of severe sexual violence,		Custom lists, keywords, and
violence	intended to shock or incite similar		RegEx filters can also be defined.
	behaviour, and is illegal under UK		
	law.		ML algorithms analyse
extreme	Pornographic material portraying		'unclassified' or new URLs in real-
pornography	acts that threaten a person's life or		time (default blocked).
	could result in serious injury, and is		
	deemed obscene and unlawful.		
fraud	Deceptive practices conducted		
	online with the intent to secure		
	unfair or unlawful financial gain,		
	including phishing and scam		
	activities.		
racially or	Content that incites hatred or		
religiously	violence against individuals based		
aggravated	on race or religion, undermining		
public order	public safety and cohesion.		
offences			

inciting	Online material that encourages as	
violence	Online material that encourages or	
violefice	glorifies acts of violence, posing	
	significant risks to public safety	
	and order.	
illegal	Content that promotes or	
immigration	facilitates unauthorized entry into	
and people	a country, including services	
smuggling	offering illegal transportation or	
	documentation.	
promoting or	Material that encourages or assists	
facilitating	individuals in committing suicide,	
suicide	posing serious risks to vulnerable	
	populations.	
intimate	The non-consensual sharing of	
image abuse	private sexual images or videos,	
_	commonly known as "revenge	
	porn," intended to cause distress	
	or harm.	
selling illegal	Online activities involving the	
drugs or	advertisement or sale of	
weapons	prohibited substances or firearms,	
	contravening legal regulations.	
sexual	Content that involves taking	
exploitation	advantage of individuals sexually	
скрюнанон	for personal gain or profit,	
	including trafficking and forced	
	prostitution.	
Terrorism	Material that promotes, incites, or	
remonsin	•	
	instructs on terrorist activities,	
	aiming to radicalise individuals or	
	coordinate acts of terror.	

Inappropriate Online Content

Recognising that no filter can guarantee to be 100% effective, providers should both confirm, and describe how, their system manages the following content $\frac{1}{2}$

Content	Explanatory notes – Content that:	Rating	Explanation
Gambling	Enables gambling		The domain and URL monitoring
Hate speech /	Content that expresses hate or		service contains over 500 web
Discriminiatio	encourages violence towards a		categories and granular sub-
n	person or group based on		categories.
	something such as disability, race,		
	religion, sex, or sexual orientation.		Top level categories are listed
	Promotes the unjust or prejudicial		here:
	treatment of people with		https://help.clouduss.com/produ
	protected characteristics of the		ct-web-security/web-categories-
	Equality Act 2010		<u>list</u>
Harmful	Content that is bullying, abusive or		
content	hateful. Content which depicts or		Custom lists, keywords, and
	encourages serious violence or		RegEx filters can also be defined.

	injury. Content which encourages dangerous stunts and challenges; including the ingestion, inhalation or exposure to harmful substances.	ML algorithms analyse 'unclassified' or new URLs in realtime (default blocked).
Malware /	promotes the compromising of	
Hacking	systems including anonymous	
	browsing and other filter bypass	
	tools as well as sites hosting	
	malicious content	
Mis / Dis	Promotes or spreads false or	
Information	misleading information intended	
	to deceive, manipulate, or harm,	
	including content undermining	
	trust in factual information or	
	institutions	
Piracy and	includes illegal provision of	
copyright theft	copyrighted material	
Pornography	displays sexual acts or explicit	
	images	
Self Harm and	content that encourages,	
eating	promotes, or provides instructions	
disorders	for self harm, eating disorders or	
	suicide	
Violence	Promotes or glorifies violence,	
Against	abuse, coercion, or harmful	
Women and	stereotypes targeting women and	
Girls (VAWG)	girls, including content that	
	normalises gender-based violence	
	or perpetuates misogyny.	

This list should not be considered an exhaustive list. Please outline how the system manages this content and many other aspects

Highly granular rules and policies can be curated to create powerful filtering on domain, URL, category etc. Unclassified content is analysed autonomously and web classifications updated in real-time.

The Cloud Application module extends this to full http/https interception and analysis to track activity inside cloud-based SaaS applications, including AI tools.

Regarding the duration and extent of logfile (Internet history) data retention, providers should outline their retention policy, specifically including the extent to the identification of individuals and the duration to which all data is retained.

Log data is stored for 30 days and is queryable in the cloud-based dashboard (90 days retained for backup and DR purposes offline). This is encrypted at rest with AES-256 in a regional database location of the customer's choosing. Log data can be exported on a regular pre-defined cadence via csv/xls for customer retention. Log Streaming is available for integration with SIEM/SOAR systems.

Providers should be clear how their system does not over block access so it does not lead to unreasonable restrictions

Policies can display Warning pages with customer-defined content instead of Block pages. Any category deemed borderline can be logged separately whereby the user has to click a consent/acknowledgement button to proceed to the site.

SaaS applications can be controlled with a Risk rating based on individual actions within the service rather than domain or URL-level.

Customers can maintain a bypass list of approved services (i.e. intranets)

Filtering System Features

How does the filtering system meet the following principles:

Principle	Rating	Explanation
Context appropriate differentiated filtering,		Policies can be deployed
based on age, vulnerability and risk of harm –		based on numerous
also includes the ability to vary filtering		attributes including device
strength appropriate for staff		and user characteristics.
		Web requests can be
		overridden on an ad-hoc
		basis by staff and policies
		tuned per individual if
		required.
 Circumvention – the extent and ability to 		The agent is deployed as an
identify and manage technologies and		OS service and is tamper-
techniques used to circumvent the system,		proof. All http/https traffic
specifically VPN, proxy services, DNS over		is inspected by the system
HTTPS and ECH.		driver so protection is still
		delivered over VPN or proxy
		services. TLS 1.3 is
		supported.
Control – has the ability and ease of use that		Drag-and-drop based policy
allows schools to control the filter themselves		engine allows quick and easy
to permit or deny access to specific content.		customisation of rules and
Any changes to the filter system are logged		policies. An immutable audit
enabling an audit trail that ensure		trail is logged for every
transparency and that individuals are not able		system admin's activity.
to make unilateral changes		
 Contextual Content Filters – in addition to URL 		All http and https protocol
or IP based filtering, Schools should		requests and responses are
understand the extent to which (http and		analysed (GET, PUT, POST
https) content is dynamically analysed as it is		etc.) in detail and policies
streamed to the user and blocked. This would		can be tailored for content
include AI or user generated content, for		detection.
example, being able to contextually analyse		Interactions with AI SaaS
text and dynamically filter the content		applications can be
produced (for example ChatGPT). For schools'		controlled and monitored at
strategy or policy that allows the use of AI or		an individual action level of
user generated content, understanding the		granularity (i.e. restrict
technical limitations of the system, such as		prompts, file
whether it supports real-time filtering, is		uploads/downloads etc.)
important.		
Deployment – filtering systems can be		Hybrid deployment options
deployed in a variety (and combination) of		exist via device agent, local
ways (eg on device, network level, cloud,		gateway, cloud gateway and
DNS). Providers should describe how their		hosted mobile proxy. Agents
systems are deployed alongside any required		are compatible with 3 rd party
configurations		MDR solutions and endpoint
		AV agents. Local gateways
		can be configured as captive
		portal or transparent proxy.

Filtering Policy – the filtering provider publishes a rationale that details their approach to filtering with classification and categorisation as well as how the system addresses over blocking	All categories of destination resource is detailed in the log and the associated classification. These can be manually queried in the portal and disputed if required. Policies can be highly tuned to prevent false positives, and SaaS applications can be managed at an action-level o granularity rather than just allow/block at page/domain level.
 Group / Multi-site Management – the ability for deployment of central policy and central oversight or dashboard 	Platform is fully multi-tenant and integrates with Entra/AzureAD, Google Workspace etc. for org/site/department/user management.
 Identification - the filtering system should have the ability to identify users and devices to attribute access (particularly for mobile devices) and allow the application of appropriate configurations and restrictions for individual users. This would ensure safer and more personalised filtering experiences. 	Detailed attributes logged via agent, can filter on user, device, browser, IP, and more. Policies can be applied at any level
Mobile and App content – mobile and app content is often delivered in entirely different mechanisms from that delivered through a traditional web browser. To what extent does the filter system block inappropriate content via mobile and app technologies (beyond typical web browser delivered content). Providers should be clear about the capability of their filtering system to manage content on mobile and web apps and any configuration or component requirements to achieve this	Certain native SaaS applications are supported via an API mode of scanning rather than inline. Only http/https traffic is inspected, however individual OS processes can also be captured. Apps that use SSL pinning cannot be tracked (e.g. WhatsApp)
 Multiple language support – the ability for the system to manage relevant languages Remote devices – with many children and staff working remotely, the ability for school owned devices to receive the same or equivalent filtering to that provided in school Reporting mechanism – the ability to report inappropriate content for access or blocking 	Threat Intel and Classification databases are global Devices with agent installed have same level of protection wherever they are located. Mis-categorised sites or disputes can be reported manually in the portal.

	inspection is done within 24h, often minutes.
 Reports – the system offers clear granular historical information on the websites users have accessed or attempted to access 	Highly granular reports and forensic level analytics are available
 Safe Search – the ability to enforce 'safe search' when using search engines 	Safe Search by default.
 Safeguarding case management integration – the ability to integrate with school safeguarding and wellbeing systems to better understand context of activity 	Logs can be streamed to 3 rd party SIEM systems or simple S3 buckets if required. Other tools supported on ad hoc basis depending on demand

How does your filtering system manage access to Generative AI technologies (e.g. ChatGPT, image generators, writing assistants)?

In your response, please describe whether and how your system identifies, categorises, or blocks Generative AI tools; how access can be controlled based on age, risk, or educational need; any limitations in filtering AI-generated content—particularly where such content is embedded within other platforms or applications; and what support or configuration guidance you offer to schools to help them align with the UK Safer Internet Centre's Appropriate Filtering Definitions and relevant national safeguarding frameworks.

Any interaction with a SaaS application via a web browser can be controlled in a granular fashion. We have a catalogue containing thousands of applications and dozens of AI tools. Apps are fingerprinted and classified via our automated profiling engines and manual verification – this means individual actions within Gen-AI tools can be controlled (such as limiting file uploads or specific prompt types). Each action within a SaaS application is allocated a baseline 'Risk' attribute which is displayed to the system admin and can be overridden based on individual preferences.

Filtering systems are only ever a tool in helping to safeguard children when online and schools have an obligation to "consider how children may be taught about safeguarding, including online, through teaching and learning opportunities, as part of providing a broad and balanced curriculum".¹

Please note below opportunities to support schools (and other settings) in this regard

TrustLayer also offers a Security Awareness Training platform to educate users on safe internet usage, appropriate behaviour and digital safety (i.e. social media and social engineering)

¹ https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/keeping-children-safe-in-education--2

PROVIDER SELF-CERTIFICATION DECLARATION

In order that schools can be confident regarding the accuracy of the self-certification statements, the supplier confirms:

- that their self-certification responses have been fully and accurately completed by a person or persons who are competent in the relevant fields
- that they will update their self-certification responses promptly when changes to the service or its terms and conditions would result in their existing compliance statement no longer being accurate or complete
- that they will provide any additional information or clarification sought as part of the selfcertification process
- that if at any time, the UK Safer Internet Centre is of the view that any element or elements of a provider's self-certification responses require independent verification, they will agree to that independent verification, supply all necessary clarification requested, meet the associated verification costs, or withdraw their self-certification submission.

Name	Gareth Lockwood
Position	СТО
Date	5/9/25
Signature	San